





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/718,225 11/21/2000		11/21/2000	Dennis Lee Matthies	SAR 13632 3163		
26581	7590	01/06/2003				
RATNERPRESTIA				EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 980				NGUYEN, DUNG T		
VALLEY F	ORGE, P.	A 19482-0980		NOUTEN,	DUNGT	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 01/06/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/718,225

Matthies

Examiner

Dung Nguyen

Art Unit **2871**



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sh	neet with	the correspondence address			
Period 1	for Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	_ MONTH(S) FROM					
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	g date of this communication. Deriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the Deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6 ne application to beco) MONTHS f	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Aug 6, 20	002					
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This act	ion is non-fina	l.				
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗔	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-4, 6, 7, 9, and 13-16			is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 5, 8, 10-12, and 17-30			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗔	Claims	are	e subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	ed or b)[$\overline{}$ objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	lrawing(s) be he	eld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is	::a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office ac	ction.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner.					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) 🗌	13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) [\square All b) \square Some* c) \square None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority deapplication from the International Bure 	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•			
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of th	•					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) U The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm		1) V		A12) PN-(-) 6			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892) otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)			0-413) Paper No(s)6			
	2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). 2 8) Other:						
·· •		or onler.					

Application/Control Number: 09/718,225

Page 2

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action dated 10/24/2002 is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Applicant's amendment dated 08/06/2002 has been received and entered.

Claim Objections

1. Claims 17-30 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claims 17 and 18 recite a limitation of a transparent electrode and a high conductivity material formed on a surface of the electrode optical device; however, those claims fails to state the relationship of two electrode layers and how two electrode layers can be cooperated to each other.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

3. Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9 and 13-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leupp et al., US Patent No. 3,863,332, as stated in the previous office action.

Regarding claims 1 and 2, in response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant

Application/Control Number: 09/718,225

Page 3

Art Unit: 2871

relies (i.e., a second layer of the at least one electrode formed in contact with the first electrode layer (claim 1) or a second layer of the at least one electrode (claim 2)) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In particular, regarding claim 1, Leupp et al. do disclose an aluminum layer (e.g., spacer 33) formed on a portion the first electrode layer (19) as shown in figure 10. Likewise, regarding claim 2, Leupp et al. do disclose a second layer (e.g., layer 25) formed in contact with the first electrode layer (19).

Accordingly, the rejection of the above claims stand.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 5, 8, 10-12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 5. Claims 17-30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The references of record neither disclose nor make obvious an electrode structure and a method of improving a conductivity of a transparent electrode structure in an electro-optical device comprising a first transparent electrode layer, a second electrode layer having a higher

Art Unit: 2871

Application/Control Number: 09/718,225

conductivity than the first electrode layer and being electrically coupled to the first transparent electrode layer as set fort in claims 17-18.

Conclusion

- 7. Applicant's arguments filed 08/06/2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive as stated above.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lee (US 5,838,414) disclose an LCD device having a polyamide spacers.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Dung Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 305-0423. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 746-7730.

Any information of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

DN 12/26/2002

KENNETH PARKER PRIMARY EXAMINER